

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/594,307	09/27/2006	Hiroyuki Yamazaki	NE353-PCT(US)	3548	
21254 MCGINN INT	7590 06/17/200 ELLECTUAL PROPE	EXAM	EXAMINER		
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 200 VIENNA, VA 22182-3817			ZHANG, YUANDA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2828			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/17/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/594,307	YAMAZAKI, HIROYUKI		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	YUANDA ZHANG	2828		

	YUANDA ZHANG	2828					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 09 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (3) application (	by was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this tion, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (f) an amendment, affidative, or other evidence, which places that ton in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request titlude Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time s:						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of eltermining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office e of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extel Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
<ol> <li>\( \)\) The proposed amendment(s) field after a final rejection, (a)\( \)\) They raise new issues that would require further co. (b)\( \)\) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c)\( \)\) They are not deemed to place the application in bet application in bet application.</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	E below);					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13</li> </ol>	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2828

/Minsun Harvey/

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended claim 1 raises new issue which requires further search.